arXiv:0909.1744v1 [math.NT] 9 Sep 2009

The Trace of Hecke operators on the space of classical holomorphic Siegel modular forms of genus two

(Rainer Weissauer)

In this note we specialize the results on the trace formula from [W1],[W2] and [W3] to the case of holomorphic Siegel modular forms of genus two with special emphasis on the classical case of forms for the full Siegel modular group.

Notation. Let (V_ρ, ρ) be an irreducible representation of the linear group $Gl(g, \mathbb{C})$. Let Γ be a subgroup of finite index of the Siegel modular group $\Gamma_g = Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. Let H_g be the Siegel upper half space of genus g, i.e. the space of all complex symmetric $g \times g$ matrices Z with positive definite imaginary part. Vector valued holomorphic Siegel modular forms of genus g and type ρ are holomorphic functions

$$f : H_g \to V_\rho$$

with the transformation property

$$f\big((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}\big) = \rho(AZ + B)f(Z)$$

for all matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma .$$

Such a function f is called a cusp form if it is of rapid decay at infinity. Usually this is expressed in terms of the Fourier expansions of f at the cusps (see [F]).

We restrict now to the case where the genus g is two. Then we may assume that ρ is of the form $\rho(M) = Sym^r(M) \otimes det(M)^k$ for the r-th symmetric power Sym^r of the standard representation of $Gl(2, \mathbb{C})$. In the following let us assume $k \geq 3$ and we are only interested in the space $[\Gamma, \rho]_0$ of cusp forms within the space $[\Gamma, \rho]$ of all modular forms. For $(k_1, k_2) = (r + k, k)$ then $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq 3$. Then it is well known that a Siegel cusp form f with these properties gives rise to cuspidal automorphic representations of the adele group $G(\mathbb{A}) = GSp(4, \mathbb{A})$. Decomposing these representations into a direct sum of irreducible automorphic representations $\Pi = \otimes'_v \Pi_v$ all of the archimedean representations Π_∞ which arise belong to the holomorphic discrete series of weight (k_1, k_2) in the sense of [W1].

Now we review results of [W1] relevant for our applications. Let $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{A}_{fin}$ denote the ring of rational adeles. Let dg denote a Haar measure on $G(\mathbb{A}) =$

$GSp(4,\mathbb{A})$. The Hilbert space $L^2_0(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)\subset L^2(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$ of cuspidal automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ decomposes discretely into a Hilbert direct sum of irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations Π of $G(\mathbb{A})$. The space $L^2_0(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$ contains the subspaces of CAP-representations $L^2_{CAP}(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$ and the subspace $L^2_{endo}(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$ of weak endoscopic lifts. The intersection of these two subspaces is zero. See [W1], page 70 resp. [S] for further details. For the following it suffices to know, that CAP representations are the irreducible cuspidal representations, which are weakly equivalent to constituents of globally induced automorphic representations. Notice that two irreducible automorphic representations Π_1,Π_2 of $GSp(4,\mathbb{A})$ are said to be weakly equivalent if their local components $\Pi_{1,v},\Pi_{2,v}$ are isomorphic for almost all places v. This being said let $L^2_{00}(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$ denote the orthogonal complement of these two subspaces in $L^2_0(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$. An irreducible constituent $\Pi=\Pi_\infty\otimes\Pi_{fin}$ of $L^2_0(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$ is said to be a cohomological representation, if its archimedean component Π_∞ belongs to the discrete series representations of the group $GSp(4,\mathbb{R})$. This condition is equivalent to the condition that there exist integers $k_1\geq k_2\geq 3$ such that Π_∞ belongs to a local archimedean L-packet $\{\Pi^{hol}_\infty,\Pi^W_\infty\}$ of cardinality two attached to this weight (k_1,k_2). For cohomological irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations Π not of CAP-type we constructed in [W1] associated four dimensional Galois representations $\rho_{\Pi,\lambda}$ of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_l$, which are defined over some finite dimensional extension field E_λ of the l-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_l$. Once and for all we fix a field isomorphism $\tau:\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}\cong\mathbb{C}$ and tacitly identify $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ with the field of complex numbers.

The Shimura variety $M=GSp(4,\mathbb{Q})\setminus GSp(4,\mathbb{A})/K_\infty$ has a model over the reflex field $E=\mathbb{Q}$. Here $K_\infty\subset GSp(4,\mathbb{R})$ denotes the stabilizer of the point $i\cdot E$ in H_2 so that $GSp(4,\mathbb{R})/K_\infty$ can be identified with the union of half spaces $H_2\cup -H_2$. To a representation ρ of $Gl(2,\mathbb{C})$ as above one can attach a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$-coefficient system V_λ for $\lambda=\lambda(\rho)$ on M and decompose the etale cohomology $H^\bullet_c(M,V_\lambda)$ as a representation of the group $GSp(4,\mathbb{A}_{fin})$. It is known that this representation is automorphic. On the Eisenstein cohomology

$$H^\bullet_{Eis}(M,V_\lambda)=Kern(H^\bullet_c(M,V_\lambda)\to H^\bullet(M,V_\lambda))$$

the group $GSp(4,\mathbb{A}_{fin})$ acts with constituents of globally induced representations. The image $H^\bullet_!(M,V_\lambda)=Im(H^\bullet_c(M,V_\lambda)\to H^\bullet(M,V_\lambda))$ is completely decomposable into irreducible representations of $GSp(4,\mathbb{A}_{fin})$ ([H]). $H^\bullet_!(M,V_\lambda)$ contains a maximal subspace $H^\bullet_E(M,V_\lambda)$, whose irreducible constituents are weakly

equivalent to globally induced representations. Using transcendent methods one can show $H^\bullet_E(M,V_\lambda) = H^\bullet_{res}(M,V_\lambda) \oplus H^\bullet_{CAP}(M,V_\lambda)$. Classes in the first subspace are represented by residues of Eisenstein series. The second subspace is the part of the cuspidal cohomology defined by the CAP-representations. The orthocomplement of $H^\bullet_E(M,V_\lambda)$ in $H^\bullet_!(M,V_\lambda)$ with respect to the cup-product decomposes discretely as a module under the group $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}:\mathbb{Q}) \times GSp(4,\mathbb{A}_{fin})$

$$\bigoplus_{\Pi} \tilde{\rho}_{\Pi,\lambda} \otimes \Pi_{fin} \ .$$

where the summation extends over all irreducible cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations $\Pi = \Pi_\infty \otimes \Pi_{fin}$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ not of CAP-type. It can again be split up into two subspaces. One is the subspace $H^\bullet_{endo}(M,V_\lambda)$ defined by the weak endoscopic lifts Π, the other is the subspace $H^\bullet_{00}(M,V_\lambda)$ defined by the representations Π in $L^2_{00}(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$. The nature of the Galois representation $\tilde{\rho}_{\Pi,\lambda}$ depends on the type of Π in this sense. The 'motivic' Galois representations $\tilde{\rho}_{\Pi,\lambda}$ of $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}:\mathbb{Q})$ are of finite dimension over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ and they are uniquely determined by the weak equivalence class of the automorphic representation Π. This easily follows from the Cebotarev density theorem.

We will not discuss the Eisenstein cohomology, which is explained in greater detail in [H],[P],[FG] and [BFG]. Our main focus will be on the cases where Π is a weak endoscopic lift or belongs to $L^2_{00}(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$. For the latter case we have the following

Theorem 1 (Stability). *Suppose $\Pi = \Pi_\infty \otimes \Pi_{fin}$ is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation in $L^2_{00}(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$ for which Π_∞ is in the local archimedean L-packet $\{\Pi^{hol}_\infty, \Pi^W_\infty\}$ of a discrete series representation of weight (k_1,k_2). Then the multiplicities of the representations $\Pi_{fin}\otimes\Pi^{hol}_\infty$ and $\Pi_{fin}\otimes\Pi^W_\infty$ in $H^\bullet_{00}(M,V_\lambda)$, or equivalently multipicities in $L^2_{00}(G(\mathbb{Q})\setminus G(\mathbb{A}),dg)$, coincide*

$$m(\Pi_{fin}\otimes\Pi^{hol}_\infty) \ = \ m(\Pi_{fin}\otimes\Pi^W_\infty) \ .$$

The semisimplification $\tilde{\rho}^{ss}_{\Pi,\lambda}$ of the motivic representation $\tilde{\rho}_{\Pi,\lambda}$ is concentrated in the cohomology of degree three and and is isomorphic to an isotypic multiple

$$\tilde{\rho}^{ss}_{\Pi,\lambda} = n(\Pi)\cdot\rho_{\Pi,\lambda}$$

of the four-dimensional symplectic Galois representations $\rho_{\Pi,\lambda}$ attached to Π. It is defined over a finite extension field E_λ of $\mathbb{Q}_l$. Viewed as a representation over

$\mathbb{Q}_l$ *the representation* $\rho_{\Pi,\lambda}$ *is of Hodge-Tate type, and its Hodge-Tate components* $(k_1+k_2-3,0),(k_1-1,k_2-2),(k_2-2,k_1-1),(0,k_1+k_2-3)$ *occur with the same multiplicity* $[E_\lambda:\mathbb{Q}_l]$.

Proof. By [W3], theorem 1 any irreducible cuspidal automorphic Π of $GSp(4,\mathbb{A})$, with the assumptions as in the theorem above, is weakly equivalent to a globally generic cuspidal automorphic representation Π' of $GSp(4,\mathbb{A})$ for which the local archimedean component Π'_∞ is in the same local archimedean local L-packet as Π_∞. This assertion allows to apply [W1], theorem III and [W1], proposition 1.5., which immediately give the statements of the theorem above. It should be remarked that the results proven in [W1] depend on certain hypotheses A and B made in loc. cit on page 70 and page 80. The proof of the hypotheses A and B is the main content of [W2]. QED

Now we apply the last theorem. The case of our particular interest is the case of the full Siegel modular group

$$\Gamma=\Gamma_2\ .$$

Let $[\Gamma_2,\rho]_0$ be the corresponding space of vector valued holomorphic Siegel cusp forms for which the weight (k_1,k_2) of ρ satisfies $k_1\geq k_2\geq 3$. Under the action of the algebra $\mathcal{H}$ of spherical Hecke operators $T\in\mathcal{H}$ (see [F]) every cuspform f in $[\Gamma_2,\rho]_0$ can be decomposed into a finite sum of eigenforms of $\mathcal{H}$. For a cusp form f, which is an eigenform of all Hecke operators T

$$f|_\rho T=\lambda(T)\cdot f\ ,$$

the eigenvalues $\lambda(T)\in\mathbb{C}$ define an algebra homomorphism

$$\lambda_f:\mathcal{H}\to\mathbb{C}\ .$$

Theorem 2 (Multiplicity one). *For the case of the full Siegel modular group* Γ_2 *the homomorphism* λ_f *uniquely determines the weight* k_1,k_2 *of* ρ *and uniquely determines the eigenform* $f\in[\Gamma_2,\rho]_0$ *up to a scalar.*

Proof. Any cuspidal eigenform f of $\mathcal{H}$ determines an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi=\Pi(f)$ for which $\Pi_\infty(f)$ belongs to the holomorphic discrete series Π_∞^{hol} of type (k_1,k_2). Conversely any irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation with Π_∞ in the holomorphic discrete series of type (k_1,k_2)

determines a holomorphic cuspidal Siegel eigenform of all Hecke operators by considering the one dimensional space of spherical vectors in Π_{fin} and the one dimensional space of lowest K_∞-type in Π_∞. Notice that λ_f determines the degree four L-series of f or $L(\Pi_{fin}, s) = L(f, s)$, and also the degree five L-series $\zeta(\Pi, s)$. Conversely $L(f, s) = L(\Pi_{fin}, s)$ determines the spherical representation Π_{fin}. Therefore, since the CAP-cases are characterized by poles of their degree four or degree five L-series (see [PS],[S]), the CAP-property is detected by λ_f. So this CAP-case can be dealt with separately. In fact in the CAP-case the statement reduces to a statement on forms in the Maass Spezialschar, where this is well known ([PS], [Z]). So we may assume without restriction of generality that either f defines a weak endoscopic lift $\Pi(f)$ or a representation $\Pi(f)$ of L^2_{00}-type. In both these cases $\Pi(f)$ is weakly equivalent to a globally generic representation $\Pi'(f)$ whose archimedean component $\Pi'_\infty(f)$ belongs to the same local archimedean L-packet of weight (k_1, k_2). For the L^2_{00}-case this follows from [W3] as already explained. In the case of a weak endoscopic lift $\Pi(f)$ in $\Pi(\sigma)$ this is shown in [W2] Theorem 5.2, page 186. In fact the multiplicity formula of loc. cit. theorem 5.2.4 implies $m(\Pi') = 1$ for $\Pi' = \otimes'_v \Pi_+(\sigma_v)$. The representation Π' is weakly equivalent to Π and it is globally generic (see [W2] theorem 4.1 and 4.2 and the references given there). The detailed description of the local representations $\Pi_+(\sigma_v), \Pi_-(\sigma_v)$ given in [W2] moreover implies for the full Siegel modular group that weak endoscopic lifts do not occur in the space of holomorphic vector valued cusp forms of weight $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq 3$. This is discussed in lemma 1 below. Using this we may therefore assume that we are in the L^2_{00}-case. This allows us to apply our theorem 1:

Step 1. λ_f determines k_1, k_2. As explained above λ_f determines the partial L-series

$$L(f, s) = L(\rho_{\Pi,\lambda}, s)$$

of the automorphic representation $\Pi = \Pi(f)$. Hence λ_f determines the Galois representation $\rho_{\Pi,\lambda}$ attached to f by the Cebotarev density theorem. Since this representation $\rho_{\Pi,\lambda}$, considered as a representation over $\mathbb{Q}_l$ is a Hodge-Tate Galois representation, we can consider its Hodge-Tate decomposition. The Hodge-Tate decomposition, described in theorem 1, obviously determines the integers k_1 and k_2.

Step 2. The multiplicity one statement. To show it we apply [W1], lemma 1.2 for the two weakly equivalent representations $\Pi = \Pi(f)$ and the globally generic representation Π' associated to it. We use that $\Pi_{fin} = \Pi_{fin}(f)$ is a spherical

representation, since f is a cusp form for the full Siegel modular group. Hence [W1], lemma 1.2 implies, that also Π'_{fin} has to be spherical and moreover that

$$\Pi_{fin}(f) = \Pi'_{fin}(f)$$

holds. Let me briefly remark, that this argument uses the global functional equation of the L-series attached to cuspidal automorphic representations of $GSp(4, \mathbb{A})$. On the other hand

$$\Pi_\infty(f) = \Pi_\infty^{hol} \quad , \quad \Pi'_\infty(f) = \Pi_\infty^W \ ,$$

since f is holomorphic and since the generic representation Π'_∞ has a Whittaker model. Hence $\Pi_\infty(f) \neq \Pi'_\infty(f)$, but they are contained in the same local archimedean local L-packet attached to (k_1, k_2). So we can apply the stability theorem 1 from above, since we are in case L^2_{00}. This gives the following multiplicity formula

$$m(\Pi(f)) = m(\Pi_\infty^{hol} \otimes \Pi_{fin}) = m(\Pi_\infty^W \otimes \Pi_{fin}) = m(\Pi') \ .$$

But globally generic automorphic representations Π' have multiplicity $m(\Pi') = 1$ in the cuspidal spectrum as shown in [JS]. This proves $m(\Pi(f)) = m(\Pi') = 1$ and gives the second assertion of theorem 2. QED.

For the proof of theorem 2 we still have to show

Lemma 1. *For the full Siegel modular group Γ_2 the subspace generated by cuspidal eigenforms f of $[\Gamma_2, \rho]_0$, for which $\Pi(f)$ is a weak endoscopic lift, is zero.*

Recall that by definition (see [W1] page 70) a global weak endoscopic cuspidal lift $\Pi = \Pi(\sigma)$ is attached to a pair of holomorphic cuspidal elliptic eigenforms (f_1, f_2) respectively the pair of automorphic irreducible cuspidal representations $\sigma = (\pi_1, \pi_2)$ of $M(\mathbb{A}) = Gl(2, \mathbb{A}) \times Gl(2, \mathbb{A})$ associated to the forms f_1, f_2 (having the same nebentype character). Let us fix (f_1, f_2) or equivalently $\sigma = (\pi_1, \pi_2)$. If f is a weak endoscopic lift, then for a finite set S of exceptional places

$$L^S(f, s) = L^S(f_1, s)L^S(f_2, s)$$

holds for the partial L-series $L(f_i, s)$ of the two elliptic cusp forms f_1, f_2. This uniquely determines $\Pi_v = \Pi_v(f)$ outside a finite set of places S. We also know $\Pi_\infty(f) = \Pi_\infty^{hol}$ for the holomorphic Siegel cusp form f. For a cuspidal weak endoscopic lift Π the local components Π_v at the nonarchimedean places have

been described in [W2]: For the places $v \in S, v \neq \infty$ either Π_v is uniquely determined

$$\Pi_v \in \{\Pi_+(\sigma_v)\}$$

(i.e. the local L-packet of the lift attached to σ has cardinality one) or alternatively there are two possible choices in the local L-packet determined by the lift of the local representation $\sigma_v = (\pi_{1,v}, \pi_{2,v})$

$$\Pi_v \in \{\Pi_+(\sigma_v), \Pi_-(\sigma_v)\} \ .$$

Example (see [W2] page 153). $\Pi_+(\sigma_\infty) = \Pi_\infty^W$ and $\Pi_-(\sigma_\infty) = \Pi_\infty^{hol}$ in the archimedean local L-packet of $GSp(4, \mathbb{R})$ defined by the discrete series representation σ_∞ of $M(\mathbb{R})$.

For a more detailed description of these local L-packets and the proofs we refer to [W2], section 4.11. See loc. cit. page 153 for an overview, and also [W2], theorem 5.2. A brief review of the main results can be found in the formulation of hypotheses A in [W1]. Unfortunately the formulation of hypotheses A, part (4) is misstated. It should read: 'For the finitely many places v of F for which σ_v belongs to the discrete series of the group $M(F_v)$ the representation Π_v is contained in a local L-packet $\{\Pi_+(\sigma_v), \Pi_-(\sigma_v)\}$ consisting of two classes of irreducible admissible representations $\Pi_\pm(\sigma_v)$ of $GSp(F_v)$, which only depend on $\sigma_v = (\pi_{1,v}, \pi_{2,v})$. At the remaining places, where σ_v does not belong to the discrete series, $\Pi_v \cong \Pi_+(\sigma_v)$ is uniquely determined by σ_v'. This being said let us describe the global picture. The main global result is the following. Let Σ be the set of places for which the local component σ_v of $\sigma = \otimes_v' \sigma_v$ is in the discrete series. For $v \in \Sigma$ fix signs $\varepsilon_v = \pm 1$. By a slight abuse of notation we now write $\Pi_{\varepsilon_v}(\sigma_v)$ with $\varepsilon_v \in \{\pm 1\}$ instead of using the indices $\pm$. Then, with this convention, the irreducible representation

$$\bigotimes_{v \in \Sigma} \Pi_{\varepsilon_v}(\sigma_v) \ \otimes \ \bigotimes_{v \notin \Sigma}{}' \ \Pi_+(\sigma_v)$$

appears with the multiplicity $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \varepsilon_v)$ in the cuspidal spectrum ([W2], theorem 5.2.4). Hence the multiplicity is zero or one depending on whether $\prod_{v \in \Sigma} \varepsilon_v$ is equal to -1 or 1. In our case this gives

Proof of lemma 1. Assume there exists a holomorphic Siegel cusp form f for the full modular group in the lift $\Pi(\sigma)$ for some global σ as above. The associated

cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi = \Pi(f)$ has a spherical nonarchimedean representation $\Pi_{fin}(f)$, and it occurs in the lift $\Pi(\sigma)$. Hence

$$\Pi_v(f) = \Pi_{\varepsilon_v}(\sigma_v) \quad , \quad \varepsilon_v \in \{\pm 1\}$$

for the finitely many places $v \in \Sigma$ where σ_v belongs to the discrete series. Checking the list of the possibilities for $\Pi_v(f) = \Pi_{\varepsilon_v}(\sigma_v)$ for nonarchimedean $v \in \Sigma$ in [W2], page 153 we see that either $\Pi_v(f)$ has to be in the discrete series or has to be a limit of discrete series depending on whether $\sigma_v^* \cong \sigma_v$ or not. On the other hand $\Pi_v = \Pi_v(f)$ is spherical for all nonarchimedean places v. Hence Π_v can not be a limit of discrete series or a discrete series representation for any nonarchimedean place v. This implies

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(\sigma) = \{\infty\} \, .$$

In other words only the archimedean component σ_∞ belongs to the discrete series. But then the multiplicity formula above implies that $\varepsilon_\infty = \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \varepsilon_v = 1$, or in other words

$$\Pi_\infty(f) = \Pi_+(\sigma_\infty) \, .$$

Since $\Pi_+(\sigma_\infty)$ is the representation Π_∞^W with a Whittaker model this implies $\Pi_\infty(f) = \Pi_\infty^W$ contradicting the fact that $\Pi_\infty(f) = \Pi_\infty^{hol}$ (holomorphicity of f). This contradiction proves the lemma. QED

We remark, that in the proof above one could alternatively use the fact that spherical nonarchimedean representations Π_v have a Whittaker model to avoid the argument with (limits of) discrete series representation for Π_v.

Remark. As a consequence we see that for the case of the full modular group all the contribution of weak endoscopic lifts Π for a fixed cuspidal σ to the representation to the cohomology groups of the Siegel modular variety

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = GSp(4, \mathbb{Q}) \setminus GSp(4, \mathbb{A}) / (K_\infty \times GSp(4, \mathbb{Z}_{fin}))$$

is restricted to the nonholomorphic cohomology of Hodge type $(k_1 - 1, k_2 - 2)$ and $(k_2 - 2, k_1 - 1)$ in the cohomology degree three. So it remains to discuss the motivic Galois representation attached to this nonholomorphic contribution of the lift Π in the the weak endoscopic lift of each cuspidal σ.

In general, for a weak endoscopic lift the Π_{fin}-isotypic component

$$\tilde{\rho}_\Pi \otimes \Pi_{fin} \subset H^\bullet_{endo}(M, V_\lambda)$$

has been computed in [W2]. See assertion (7) on page 71 of [W1]. Of course V_λ here is determined by σ_∞ and vice versa.

Let us restrict this general statement to the case of the full modular group. Since we consider the full modular group the representation Π_{fin} has to be spherical. Then we know that Π gives a contribution to the cohomology $H^\bullet_{endo}(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda)$ only if the following holds

$$(*) \qquad \Pi = \Pi^W_\infty \otimes \Pi_{fin}(\sigma) \quad , \quad \Pi_{fin}(\sigma) \text{ is spherical}$$

as shown in the proof of lemma 1.

For Π as in (*), or for weak lifts in general, the cohomological trace formula (see [W2] p.81, [W2] section 4.3) computes the motivic Galois representation in terms of the two-dimensional representations ρ_1 resp. ρ_2 attached to the π_1 resp. π_2 (see ([D]) with certain multiplicities m_1, m_2

$$(\tilde{\rho}_\Pi)^{ss} \ = \ m_1 \cdot \rho_1 \oplus m_2 \cdot (\rho_2 \otimes \nu_l^{k_2-2})$$

that are computable in terms of Hodge theory ([W2] corollary 4.1 and corollary 4.4). By the formula of [W2] at the bottom of page 88 one has

$$m_1 = m(\Pi^-(\sigma_\infty) \otimes \Pi_{fin}) = m(\Pi^{hol}(\sigma_\infty) \otimes \Pi_{fin})$$

and

$$m_2 = m(\Pi^+(\sigma_\infty) \otimes \Pi_{fin}) = m(\Pi^W(\sigma_\infty) \otimes \Pi_{fin}) \, .$$

In the case (*) relevant for the full Siegel modular group everything simplifies. Indeed by the proof of lemma 1 we have already seen that

$$m_1 = 0 \quad , \quad m_2 = 1 \, .$$

Hence $(\tilde{\rho}_\Pi)^{ss} = \rho_2 \otimes \nu_l^{k_2-2}$ is the two dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$-adic representation attached to f_2 by Deligne [D]. Since these representations are irreducible, as shown by Ribet [R], we get $(\tilde{\rho}_\Pi)^{ss} = \tilde{\rho}_\Pi$, hence $\tilde{\rho}_\Pi = \rho_2 \otimes \nu_l^{k_2-2}$. So we obtain

$$H^\bullet_{endo}(M_K, V_\lambda) = H^3_{endo}(M_K, V_\lambda) \ = \ \bigoplus_\Pi (\rho_2 \otimes \nu_l^{k_2-2}) \otimes (\Pi_{fin})^K$$

where the sum runs over all endoscopic lifts Π for all σ with σ_∞ fixed and determined by λ or (k_1, k_2). Notice that (f_1, f_2) are distinguished by their weights

$$r_1 = k_1 + k_2 - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = k_1 - k_2 + 2 \, .$$

In other words f_2 is the form of the pair (f_1, f_2) with the lower weight $r_2 < r_1$. See [W2] page 64 and 77, and also [W2] page 289 or for a brief overview [W1], page 70.

It remains to discuss the relevant representations σ. For this still assume that we consider the case of the Siegel modular variety $M_K = \mathcal{A}_2$ for the maximal compact group $K = GSp(4, \mathbb{Z}_{fin})$ in $GSp(4, \mathbb{A}_{fin})$. Then Π_{fin}^K is one dimensional if Π_{fin} is spherical, and Π_{fin}^K is zero otherwise. This and the multiplicity formula $m_2 = 1, m_1 = 0$ from above implies that the representation

$$\sigma = \sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma_{fin}$$

is uniquely determined by Π_{fin} and vice versa. Indeed σ_∞ is determined by the coefficient system V_λ, and σ_{fin} is determined by the lifting formula [W2], lemma 4.27. In fact by the proof of lemma 1 we know that σ_v can not be in the discrete series, if a spherical representation π_v is in its local weak endoscopic L-packet of σ_v. Hence σ_v must be an induced representation by the local classification theory of admissible irreducible representations. But for an induced representation σ_v the unique local endoscopic lift $\Pi^+(\sigma_v)$ again is induced. It is described by the formula of [W2] lemma 4.27. This formula moreover implies that σ_v is spherical, if Π_v is spherical. Conversely, if σ_v is spherical, then also the unique endoscopic lift $\Pi_v = \Pi^+(\sigma_v)$ is spherical. Since σ_{fin} is spherical this describes the possible irreducible representations ρ in terms of pairs of classical elliptic cusp forms f_1, f_2 of weight r_1, r_2 respectively.

Lemma 2. *Fix weights $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq 3$ and a corresponding coefficient system V_λ. Let $r_1 = k_1 + k_2 - 2$ and $r_2 = k_1 - k_2 + 2$. Then the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$-adic Galois representation of $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{Q})$ on the cohomology group*

$$H^\bullet_{endo}(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda) := H^\bullet_{endo}(M, V_\lambda)^K \quad , \quad K = GSp(4, \mathbb{Z}_{fin})$$

is nontrivial only in cohomology degree three, where it is isomorphic as a representation of $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{Q})$ to

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma_2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}([\Gamma_1, r_1]_0) \cdot (\rho_2 \otimes \nu_l^{k_2 - 2})$$

with summation over all two-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$-adic Galois representations ρ_2 attached to the elliptic cuspidal eigenforms $f_2 \in [\Gamma_1, r_2]_0$.

By our definitions we decomposed the Euler chacteristics

$$e_c(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{6} (-1)^i [H_c^i(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda)]$$

$$= e_{Eis}(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda) + e_E(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda) + e_{endo}(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda) + e_{00}(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda)$$

where the endoscopic term $e_{endo}(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda)$ is given by lemma 2 (up to an additional sign $(-1)^3$ from the Euler characteristics). The term $e_{00}(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda)$ corresponds up to a sign $(-1)^3$ to a 'motif' of rank $4 \cdot dim_{\mathbb{C}}([\Gamma_2, \rho]_{00})$ by theorem 1. Together with the next lemma this confirms conjecture 4.1 of [FG] (notice $m = k_2 - 3$ and $l - m = k_1 - k_2$ in the notation of loc. cit.)

Lemma 3. *$H_E^\bullet(M, V_\lambda)$ vanishes for regular weights $k_2 > k_1 > 3$.*

In other words we obtain the following formula for the trace of the Hecke operator $T(p)$ for prime p in terms of traces of the Frobenius F_p on cohomology.

Theorem 3. *For regular weights $k_1 > k_2 > 3$ and the corresponding coefficient system V_λ on $\mathcal{A}_2$ the trace of the Hecke operators $T = T(p)$ on the space of holomorphic Siegel cusp forms $[\Gamma_2, \rho]_0$ for the full Siegel modular group Γ_2 is given by*

$$4 \cdot trace(T(p), [\Gamma_2, \rho]_0) = -trace\big(F_p, [H_c^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda)]\big)$$

$$- \sum_{\sigma_2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}([\Gamma_1, r_1]_0) \cdot trace(F_p, \rho_2 \otimes \nu_l^{k_2-2}) + e_{Eis}(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda) \, .$$

Remark. Using the formula for $e_{Eis}(\mathcal{A}_2, V_\lambda)$ in [FG] the terms in the second row of the formula of theorem 3 can be expressed in the form

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\big([\Gamma_1, r_1]_0\big) \cdot trace\big(F_p, [H_c^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_1, V_\mu)]) \otimes \nu_l^{k_2-2}\big) \; + \; \dim_{\mathbb{C}}([\Gamma_1, r_2]_0)$$

$$+(-1)^{k_1} \cdot trace(F_p, [H_c^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_1, V_{\mu'})])) + (1 + (-1)^{k_1})/2 \, .$$

Here V_μ is the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-coefficient system on $\mathcal{A}_1$ whose cohomology is related to the elliptic modular forms $[\Gamma_1, r_2]$ of weight r_2 (by the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism) respectively $V_{\mu'}$ is the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-coefficient system on $\mathcal{A}_1$ whose cohomology is related to the elliptic modular forms $[\Gamma_1, k]$ of weight $k = k_1$ (if k_1 is even) or $k = k_2 - 1$ (if k_1 is odd).

Remarks on level 2. In [BFG] certain explicit formulas were conjectured for the principal congruence group $\Gamma = \Gamma_2[2]$ of level 2. For example the first part of conjecture 7 amounts to a certain property of the 2-adic representations $\Pi_v = \Pi_\pm(\sigma_v)$ for the 2-adic field $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_2$, namely that locally at the 2-adic place v

$$dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\Pi_-(\sigma_v)^K) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \chi_{1,v}/\chi_{2,v} = \chi_0$$

$$dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\Pi_-(\sigma_v)^K) = 5 \quad \text{for} \quad \chi_{1,v}/\chi_{2,v} = 1$$

holds for the 2-adic principal congruence group $K \subset GSp(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ of level two in the case where $\sigma_v = (\pi_{1,v}, \pi_{2,v})$ are special representations $\pi_{i,v} = Sp \otimes \chi_{i,v}$ of $Gl(2, \mathbb{Q}_2)$ whose character $\chi_{i,v}$ is either trivial or equal to the nontrivial unramified quadratic character χ_0. Implicitly in the regular case there is even the stronger conjecture 7.4 of [BFG] that $\Pi_-(\sigma_v)^K$, as module under the symmetric group $GSp(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)/K \cong \Sigma_6$, is isomorphic to $s[1^6]$ resp. $s[2^3]$. To show this conjecture, one has to compute the local representations $\Pi_-(\sigma_v)$ as in [W2], case 1c respectively case 1d (page 129f). As these statements are of local nature, one could prove them locally. However, for a proof it suffices to know that they hold in a single global example.

Sketch of proof for lemma 3. Regularity implies the vanishing of cohomology outside of degree 3 and $H^3_!(M, V_\lambda) = H^3_{cusp}(M, V_\lambda)$ (see [T], p.294). Hence $H^\bullet_E(M, V_\lambda) = H^\bullet_{CAP}(M, V_\lambda)$ and only representations contribute with Π_∞ in the discrete series. But CAP easily implies regularity by computing the well known archimedean theta lifts defining the CAP representations [S], [PS].

References

[D] Deligne P., Formes modulaires et representations l-adiques, In: Sem. Bourbaki 1968/69, Springer Lecture Notes 79, pp. 347-363 (1971)

[F] Freitag E., Siegelsche Modulfunktionen, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 254, Springer (1983)

[FG] Faber C.-van der Geer G., Sur la cohomologie des systemes locaux sur les espaces des modules des courbes de genre 2 et des surfaces abeliennes, I, II C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 338 (2004), 381 - 384, 467 - 470

[BFG] Bergström J.- Faber C.- vand der Geer G., Siegel modular forms of genus 2 and level 2: cohomological computations and conjectures, International Mathematics Research Notices, vol. 2008.

[H] Harder G., Eisensteinkohomologie und die Konstruktion gemischter Motive, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1562 (1993)

[K] Kottwitz R.E., Shimura varieties and λ-adic representations, In: Ann Arbor Proceedings, edited by Clozel L., Milne J.S, Perspectives of mathematics, pp 161 - 209 (1990)

[P] Pink R., On l-adic sheaves on Shimura varieties and their higher direct images in the Baily-Borel compactification, Math. Ann. 292, pp. 197 - 240 (1992)

[R] Ribet K.A., Galois representations attached to eigenforms with nebentypus, In: Modular functions of one variable V, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 601, pp. 17 - 52 (1977)

[JS] Jiang D.-Soudry D., The multiplicity-one theorem for generic automorphic forms of $GSp(4)$, Pac. J. Math. 229, no. 2, 381-388 (2007)

[S] Soudry D., The CAP representations of GSp(4), Crelles Journal 383, pp. 97 -108 (1988)

[PS] Piateski-Shapiro I.I., On the Saito-Kurokawa lifting, Invent. Math. 71, pp. 309 - 338 (1983)

[W1] Weissauer R., Four dimensional Galois representations, in: Formes Automorphes (II), Le cas du groupe GSp(4), Editors: Tilouine J., Carayol H., Harris M., Vigneras M.F., Asterisque 302, pp. 67 - 149 (2005)

[W2] Weissauer R., Endoscopy for GSp(4) and the cohomology of Siegel modular threefolds, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1968 (2009)

[W3] Weissauer R., Existence of Whittaker models related to four dimensional symplectic Galois representations, In: Modular Forms on Schiermonnikoog, Editors: Edixhofen B., van der Geer G., Moonen B., Cambridge University Press pp. 285 - 310 (2008)

[T] Taylor R., On the l-adic cohomology of Siegel threefolds, Invent. math. 114, pp 289 - 310 (1993)

[Z] Zagier D., Sur la Conjecture de Saito-Kurokawa, (d’apres Maass), Seminaire de Theorie de Nombres, Birkhäuser, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 12, pp. 371 - 394 (1981)